# ADAMS/Insight and Rapid Simulation

Doug Hargett & Stephan Koerner Mechanical Dynamics, Inc.

2000 International ADAMS User Conference





## **Dynamic DNA**

"Instead of being 20% there when we start driving prototypes, with (computer-aided engineering) we are 80% there" - Ford Motor Company 1998





## **Challenges Facing Corporations Today**

- Cut design cycle time
- Predict behavior
- He who connects first to their data wins!







## Managing Risk Through Better Information



Mechanical Dynamics



How?

How do we get more information up-front?
How do we learn about our design earlier?
How do we connect with our data?





Mechanical Dynamics



#### **Multi-Body Modeling Tools**

Mechanical Dynamics

- Learn the best modeling techniques
- Build-up knowledge of specific systems
- Evaluate one design at a time
- Requires modeling expertise



**Multi-Body Modeling Tools** 

ADAMS/Solver

ADAMS/Flex

ADAMS/Controls

ADAMS/Hydraulics

ADAMS/Driver





#### **Parametric Modeling Tools**

- Build complex multi-body models from base set of parameters
- Bookshelf corporate expertise
- Utilize consistent methods
- A/B comparison is easy, effects of design changes are analyzed quickly

Mechanical Dynamics

Users don't have to develop methods









## **ADAMS/Insight is the Key**

- Greater understanding of system under investigation
  - Identify primary inputs
  - Identify interactions of inputs
  - Simplify system
- Communicate findings in an interactive, easy to use, format
- Reduce time to market





**Together these Virtual Prototyping Solutions help you...** 

- Achieve more efficient collaboration
  - between departments
  - between companies
- Achieve more efficient design reviews
  - Quick 'What if' analyses
    - Immediate feedback





#### **Old Collaboration Model**







#### **New Collaboration Model**





## **Complete Virtual Prototyping Solution**

#### How long does it take to evaluate a design space?





#### **Example System**







#### Case Study: Characteristic Curves of Automotive Suspension

- Toe, Caster, Camber curves are essential properties of a suspension
- Curves represent change in angular orientation of wheel under different loading conditions
- Curves must be continually evaluated as design changes





#### **Ride Motion Analysis**



Mechanical Dynamics

.1



#### **Problem Statement**

- Packaging problems with current design
- Tie-rod spindle connection point must be moved
- Would like to move tie rod:
  - 10 mm outboard
  - 14 mm aft
  - 15 mm up
- Is this Okay?



**Dynamics** 



#### How could we solve this problem?

 Run two analyses: nominal, considered
 Publish difference





#### Sample Report (old approach)



Mechanical Dynamics

. \*



## **Issues with Old Approach**

#### Results are static

New analysis requests keep coming



Mechanical **Dynamics** 



#### How do we want to solve this problem?

#### Setup experiment with ADAMS/Insight

- Define design space
- Define trial runs







#### How do we want to solve this problem?

Utilize parametric modeling tool

– Analyze set of trials picked by DOE theory

Use DOE theory and the response surface method

Fitted results give continuous information throughout design space





#### **Start with Design Space**





## Add DOE Design points





#### **Assign Trial Numbers**





#### **Analyze Model at Each Trial**







#### **Calculate Objective at each Trial**



Mechanical Dynamics



## Map Trial Objectives Back to Design Space



Mechanical Dynamics



#### **Create Response Surface**





## **Response Surface Method**

- Provides continuous knowledge within design space
- Can be extended (hard to visualize)
  - More than 2 factors
  - More complex response types



## ADAMS Results of Case Study

Back	چي Former	d Reload Home	🄏 📆 Search Netscape	Print	Security	Stop		N		Nominal = Current =
Responses fi	om Ex	periment "TCC Case 5	itudy"					-	20	
Factor	Units	Current	Teleconce	Min	Neppinal	Max	Description		-20	
font_ptll_z		1.4050e+03	2.0000	1.3950x+03	1.4050+03	1.4150x+03	Left Outer Tie Rod Ball X		-40 -60	
tont_jtll_y	1000	-6.6800e+02	2.0000	-6.7800e+02	-6.6800++02	-6.5800e+02	Laft Outer Tis Rod Ball Y		-1.4 -1.2 -1.0 -0.8 -0.6	na
ຍ່ານເງເມີລູ		5.3300e+02	2.0000	5.3300++02	5.4300x+02	5.5300e+02	Laf. Outer Tis Rod Ball Z		60 40	Nominal • Current •
front_pt012_x	mm	1.4050e+03	2.0000	1.3950x+03	1.4050++03	1.4150x+03	Right Outer Tie Rod Ball X		20	
tont_3t012_y	une.	6.6800e+02	2.0000	6.5800++02	6.5000+02	6.7000++02	Right Outer Tie Rod Ball V	-	-20	
Repense	Unit	Current	Televance	Desciption				-	44 48 48 50 52 54 58	
MAX_RF_TOE	deg	9.8909e-01	2.3104e-01	Motimum Le	t Front Toe				RE TOP	nı
MAX_FF_TOE	deg	2.7549e-01	5.6040e-02	Meetman Right Front Too						Nominal • Current •
Bet	Units	Min X	Max X	Deceiption					20	
RF_CAMBER	đeg	-60	60	Left Front Cumber of function of spindle rise polynomial)			(2nd order		-20	
RF_CASTER	deg	-60	60	Lef. Front Cutor as function of quindle ripolynomial)			(2nd order		-40	
RF_TOE	deg	-60	60	Left Front Toe is function of spindle rise (ind order polynomial)			d onler		-0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0	
Crawd 2000-04121	7.05 mile.	AD-MARKAN (Hos (Hos)							(ment)	

Mechanical Dynamics



#### **Brief History of ADAMS Modeling**





## In the Beginning (70s-80s)

#### ADAMS/Solver

- Focus was on developing ONE model of ONE design
- Evaluation of design change required modeling expertise, could be time-consuming
- Evaluation of design change was error-prone





## Parametric Modeling (90s)

- Pre-processors developed for specific systems
- Analysts maintained model parameters
- Standard modeling practices leveraged throughout organization
- Attribute specialists no longer needed to be ADAMS experts to get job done
- A/B comparisons faster





#### Today

- Analyst quickly evaluates design *space*
- Analyst quickly communicates results to organization



